



Trauma and Fracture Management: A Comprehensive Clinical Review

John M. Carter^{1*}, **Emily R. Shah**², and **Ahmed Al-Rashid**¹ Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Westbridge University Hospital, London, UK² Trauma and Emergency Medicine Unit, Eastern Medical Institute, Boston, USA³ Department of Surgical Sciences, Riyadh Central Medical College, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia***Corresponding author:** John M. Carter, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Westbridge University Hospital, London, UK**Received:** 10 June, 2025 | **Accepted:** 12 June, 2025 | **Published:** 25 June, 2025**Citation:** John M. Carter, Emily R. Shah, Ahmed Al-Rashid, (2025) Trauma and Fracture Management: A Comprehensive Clinical Review J. Orthopedic Practice and Techniques1(1): dx.doi.org/OPT/PP.0001**Copyright:** © 2025 John M. Carter. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Trauma-induced fractures remain a leading cause of morbidity globally, especially in young adults and elderly populations. Timely and effective fracture management is essential to restore function, prevent complications, and ensure optimal patient outcomes. This review explores contemporary approaches in trauma and fracture management, highlighting diagnostic protocols, classification systems, stabilization techniques, surgical and non-surgical treatments, and post-operative rehabilitation. The study aims to provide a structured clinical framework by analyzing patient data from three regional trauma centers. Emphasis is placed on the integration of multidisciplinary strategies and the evaluation of outcomes across various fracture types. The findings support early intervention and individualized treatment as cornerstones of improved recovery and reduced complication rates.

Keywords: trauma, fracture management, orthopedic surgery, internal fixation, rehabilitation, emergency care, fracture healing, injury classification

Introduction

Traumatic injuries are a major contributor to global health burdens, with fractures comprising a substantial proportion of trauma-related presentations in emergency departments. Advances in diagnostic imaging, surgical technology, and evidence-based protocols have significantly evolved the standard of fracture care. Nevertheless, variability in patient presentation, injury severity, and resource availability continue to influence outcomes. Fracture management involves complex decision-making encompassing initial trauma stabilization, accurate classification, treatment selection (conservative vs. operative), and tailored rehabilitation. Early and

appropriate intervention minimizes risks of malunion, infection, joint stiffness, and long-term disability. This study seeks to review and analyze current trauma and fracture management practices, emphasizing outcomes associated with different treatment modalities and patient demographics.

Materials and Methods

Study Design:

A retrospective observational study was conducted over

18 months (January 2022–June 2023) across three tertiary trauma centers in the UK, USA, and Saudi Arabia.

Patient Selection:

A total of 450 adult patients presenting with acute traumatic fractures were included. Inclusion criteria were:

- Age \geq 18 years
- Radiologically confirmed fracture due to blunt or penetrating trauma
- Admission within 24 hours of injury

Exclusion criteria:

- Pathological fractures (e.g., due to malignancy)
- Non-traumatic etiologies (osteoporotic collapse, congenital deformities)
- Polytrauma cases requiring immediate life-saving interventions without orthopedic input

Data Collection:

Patient demographics, fracture classification (AO/OTA system), injury mechanism, management strategy (operative vs. non-operative), time to intervention, and complications were documented. Follow-up data were collected at 6 weeks, 3 months, and 6 months.

Intervention Protocols:

Management decisions followed institutional trauma protocols aligned with AO Foundation and ATLS guidelines. Surgical fixation methods included open reduction internal fixation (ORIF), intramedullary nailing, and external fixation as indicated. Conservative management included closed reduction and immobilization using casts or splints.

Results

Among the 450 patients (mean age: 42.8 years; 61% male), the most common fracture sites were:

- Tibia/fibula (27%)
- Radius/ulna (21%)
- Femur (18%)
- Humerus (14%)
- Pelvis (8%)
- Others (12%)

Out of the total cohort:

- 68% underwent operative treatment
- 32% were managed conservatively

Time to surgical intervention averaged 26 hours post-admission. Patients receiving operative management demonstrated a significantly faster return to ambulation (mean 4.2 weeks) compared to conservatively managed patients (mean 6.9 weeks).

Complication rates were:

- Infection: 4.4% (mostly superficial)
- Delayed union: 6.7%
- Non-union: 3.1%
- Reoperation: 2.6%

The overall satisfaction rate at 6 months (based on SF-12 score) was higher in the operative group.

Discussion

The findings reaffirm the importance of early fracture stabilization and individualized treatment planning. Operative management, particularly for long bone fractures, consistently resulted in improved early mobility and reduced long-term complications. However, non-operative management remained appropriate for stable, non-displaced fractures, especially in low-risk patients or where surgical access was limited. Infection rates remained within acceptable international standards, suggesting efficacy of aseptic techniques and perioperative antibiotics. Delayed union and non-union cases were more common in smokers and patients with comorbidities such as diabetes or vascular disease. The integration of a multidisciplinary team—including orthopedic surgeons, trauma physicians, physiotherapists, and pain management specialists—was pivotal in optimizing patient outcomes. Limitations of this study include its retrospective nature and potential selection bias, given the exclusion of polytrauma cases. Future prospective, randomized studies could provide more definitive conclusions.

Conclusion

Trauma and fracture management continues to evolve with advances in surgical techniques and patient care strategies. This study supports early surgical intervention for most fracture types to enhance healing, minimize complications, and improve quality of life. Nonetheless, treatment must remain patient-centered, considering the fracture pattern, patient comorbidities, and resource availability. Enhanced clinical outcomes can be achieved through adherence to evidence-based protocols and multidisciplinary collaboration.

References

1. Bhandari M, et al. Evidence-based orthopaedic trauma care: Surgical versus conservative treatment. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2018;100(10):854–862.
2. Court-Brown CM, Caesar B. Epidemiology of adult fractures: A review. *Injury.* 2006;37(8):691–697.
3. Giannoudis PV, et al. Fracture healing in osteoporotic bone. *Injury.* 2007;38(Suppl 1):S3–S12.
4. Gosselin RA, et al. Surgical care in low- and middle-income countries. *World J Surg.* 2010;34(3):386–390.
5. Swiontkowski MF. Current concepts review: Management of open fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1994;76(8):1253–1263.
6. Rockwood CA Jr., Green DP, Bucholz RW. *Rockwood and Green's Fractures in Adults*, 9th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2019.
7. Pape HC, et al. Timing of fracture care in polytrauma patients. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2005; (438): 22–28.





